

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
MACON DIVISION

THURSTON EUGENE BROWN,

Petitioner

VS.

DONALD BARROW, Warden,

Respondent

NO. 5:05-CV-257 (CAR)

PROCEEDING UNDER 28 U.S.C. §2254
BEFORE THE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner THURSTON EUGENE BROWN has filed a petition herein seeking federal habeas corpus relief. Tab #1. Respondent DONALD BARROW, Warden of Wilcox State Prison, has filed a motion to dismiss this petition contending that it is untimely filed under the Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA). Tab #8. Petitioner BROWN filed his response to the respondent's motion. Tab #11.

Under the provisions of the AEDPA, 28 U.S.C. §2244(d) provides as follows:

(1) A 1-year period of limitations shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a state court. The limitation period shall run from the latest of—

(A) the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review;

(B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the applicant was prevented from filing such by State action;

(C) the date on which the constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if the right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or

(D) the date on which the factual predicate of the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

(2) The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection.

The undersigned finds the respondent's analysis of the application of the one-year limitations period to petitioner's case to be persuasive. The court agrees that petitioner received notification that he was denied parole on October 15, 1997; that the one-year limitation period under the AEDPA began to run on that date; and that petitioner BROWN filed a *state* mandamus petition on August 4, 2000, well after the deadline for seeking federal habeas corpus relief had expired. Petitioner then filed the instant *federal* habeas corpus petition on July 28, 2005. Thus, petitioner BROWN exceeded the one-year period of limitations, and his federal petition is untimely filed.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the parties may serve and file written objections to this recommendation with the district judge to whom this case is assigned, WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS after being served with a copy thereof.

The Clerk is directed to serve the petitioner at the LAST ADDRESS provided by him.

SO RECOMMENDED, this 8th day of NOVEMBER, 2005.



A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Claude W. Hicks, Jr." The signature is stylized with a large, looped "C" and "H".

CLAUDE W. HICKS, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE